Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/740,505	KURZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark R. Milia	2622
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) Mark R. Milia.	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Ryan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2005	Time: <u>1:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ition. The examiner will provide	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
•	•	
·		
	(C. 10.10.	
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/740,505

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the surrent claim language, in particular the attorney proposed the replacement on the term "printing" with the term "forming" located in the last limitation of claims 1 and 11. The examiner explained the reasons for disagreeing with the proposed changes as the replacement of terms lacked support in the specification, claims, and drawings and would change the scope of the claims that may change the allowablility of the claims. Attorney agreed to keep the term "printing" in the claims as previously presented and subsequently the claims are rendered allowable as well as all other pending claims.